

								D FORCE M	AIN CALC	ULATIONS			
					NEW	INTERCEP'	TORS						Notes
Node Upstr	Pipe Segment	Pipe (ft)	Diameter (In.)	Slope (ft/ft)	Upstr Q (mgd)	Node Q (mgd)	Total Q	Capacity 0.5 d/D (mgd)	Velocity (fps)	Additional Capacity (mgd)	Required Diameter (in)	Capacity 0.5 d/D (mgd)	
1	Α	13,877	14	0.0040		1.00	1.00	1.02	3.0			0.00	Contribution from Collection Area 1
2	В	10,448	20	0.0058	1.0000	1.64	2.64	3.19	4.5			0.00	Contribution from Node 1 and Collection Areas 8 & 9.
13	М	16,491	24	0.0050		3.18	3.18	4.81	4.7			0.00	Contribution from Collection Areas 2, 11, & 12. Includes 5778 ft of sewer in Collection Area 2.
14	N	7,000	20	0.0008		1.08	1.08	1.18	1.7			0.00	Contribution from Collection Area 1
					EXISTIN	G INTERC	PTORS						
Node			Diameter	Slope	Upstr Q	Node Q	Total Q	Capacity 0.5 d/D	Velocity	Additional Capacity	Required Diameter	Capacity 0.5 d/D	
Upstr			(ln.)	(ft/ft)	(mgd)	(mgd)		(mgd)	(fps)	(mgd)	(in)	(mgd)	
3	С	9,849	24	0.0030		3.50	3.50	3.73	3.7			0.00	Contribution from Collection Areas & 10.
4	D	10,440	8	0.0187		2.08	2.08	0.50	4.4	1.6	14	2.21	Contribution from Collection Area 5 Includes 3463 ft of new pipe.
5	E	6,375	24	0.0010		2.46	2.46	2.15	2.1	0.3	12	0.34	Contribution from Collection Area 3 Assume 0.0010 slope.
6	F	844	24	0.0011		8.04	8.04	2.26	2.2	5.8	36	6.65	Contribution from Node 3, 4 & 5.
7	G	10,383	8	0.0060		2.08	2.08	0.28	2.5	1.8	18	2.45	Contribution from Collection Area 5
8	Н	2,065	24	0.0011		10.12	10.12	2.26	2.2	7.9	36	6.65	Contribution from Node 6 & 7. Assume 76% capacity to meet
9	ļ	1,044	36	0.0015		12.76	12.76	7.77	3.4	5.0	36	7.77	Contribution from Node 2 & 8. Assume 82% capacity to meet
10	J	18,092	21	0.0054	0.44	3.10	3.54	3.50	4.5			0.00	Contribution from Collection Areas ( & 14. Includes 3600 ft of new pipe.
11	K	10,532	24	0.0006		1.55	1.55	1.67	1.6			0.00	Contribution from Collection Area 6 Includes 4847 ft of new pipe.
12	L	21,537	10	0.0003	2.61	0.40	3.01	0.11	0.6	2.9	36	3.47	Contribution from Collection Areas & 13.
					NEV	V FORCE M							
	0	9,590	14				2.64		3.8				
	Р	14,589	14				3.18		4.6				
	Q	5,358	12				1.96		3.9				
	R	1,216	16				3.54		3.9				
	S	11,460	16				4.10		4.5				
	Т	4,407	8				1.08		4.8				

Bold numbers represent where capacity criteria have been exceeded.

Data received from the City current as of July 2006

Segment         (           A         13           B         10           M         16           N         7,           D         10           E         6,           F         8           G         10           H         2,           J         3,           K         4,           L         21           Sub Total         10           FORCE MAINS	Pipe Dia (ft) ( 3,877 0,448 5,491 ,000	meter (	County	,	Total Q	IS, AND LIFT County %	City %	County	City
Segment         (           A         13           B         10           M         16           N         7,           D         10           E         6,           F         8           G         10           H         2,           J         3,           K         4,           L         21           Sub Total         10           FORCE MAINS	(ft) ( 8,877 0,448 6,491 ,000	<b>In.)</b> 14 20	(mgd) 0.00	(mgd)	Total Q	•	City %	County	City
Segment         (           A         13           B         10           M         16           N         7,           D         10           E         6,           F         8           G         10           H         2,           J         3,           K         4,           L         21           Sub Total         10           FORCE MAINS	(ft) ( 8,877 0,448 6,491 ,000	<b>In.)</b> 14 20	(mgd) 0.00	(mgd)	Total Q	•	City %	County	City
Segment         (           A         13           B         10           M         16           N         7,           D         10           E         6,           F         8           G         10           H         2,           J         3,           K         4,           L         21           Sub Total         10           FORCE MAINS	(ft) ( 8,877 0,448 6,491 ,000	14 20	0.00	(mgd)		•			Uity
A 13 B 10 M 16 N 7, D 10 E 6, F 8 G 10 H 2, J 3, K 4, L 21 Sub Total 10 FORCE MAINS	3,877 0,448 5,491 ,000	14 20	0.00			Flow	Flow	Cost	Cost
M 16 N 7, D 10 E 6, F 8 G 10 H 2, J 3, K 4, L 21 Sub Total 10 FORCE MAINS	,000		1.52	1.00	1.00	0	100	\$0	\$2,331,000
N 7, D 10 E 6, F 8 G 10 H 2, J 3, K 4, L 21 Sub Total 10 FORCE MAINS	,000	24		1.12	2.64	58	42	\$1,443,000	\$1,064,000
D 10 E 6, F 8 G 10 H 2, J 3, K 4, L 21 Sub Total 10 FORCE MAINS			1.22	1.96	3.18	38	62	\$1,822,000	\$2,927,000
E 6, F 8 G 10 H 2, J 3, K 4, L 21 Sub Total 10	),440	20	1.08	0.00	1.08	100	0	\$1,680,000	\$0
F 8 G 10 H 2, J 3, K 4, L 21 Sub Total 10 FORCE MAINS		14	0.00	2.08	2.08	0	100	\$0	\$1,754,000
G 10 H 2, J 3, K 4, L 21 Sub Total 10 FORCE MAINS	,	12	0.00	2.46	2.46	0	100	\$0	\$918,000
H 2, J 3, K 4, L 21 Sub Total 10		36	0.20	7.84	8.04	2	98	\$9,000	\$356,000
J 3,		18	0.00	2.08	2.08	0	100	\$0	\$2,243,000
K 4, L 21 Sub Total 10		36	0.20	9.92	10.12	2	98	\$18,000	\$874,000
L 21 Sub Total 10 FORCE MAINS		21	0.44	3.10	3.54	12	88	\$113,000	\$794,000
Sub Total 10 FORCE MAINS		24	0.00	1.55	1.55	0	100	\$0	\$1,396,000
FORCE MAINS	,537	36	0.40	2.61	3.01	13	87	\$1,236,000	\$8,068,000
	07,907							\$6,300,000	\$22,700,000
O 9,	3								
	,590	14	1.52	1.12	2.64	58	42	\$927,000	\$684,000
P 14	l,589	14	1.22	1.96	3.18	38	62	\$940,000	\$1,511,000
	,358	12	0.00	1.96	1.96	0	100	\$0	\$772,000
	,216	16	0.44	3.10	3.54	12	88	\$29,000	\$205,000
		16	1.48	2.61	4.09	36	64	\$796,000	\$1,404,000
T 4,	,407	8	1.08	0.00	1.08	100	0	\$423,000	\$0
Sub Total 46	6,619							\$3,100,000	\$4,600,000
LIFT STATIONS	S								
O 9,	,590	14	1.52	1.12	2.64	58	42	\$651,000	\$480,000
P 14	,589	14	1.22	1.96	3.18	38	62	\$503,000	\$807,000
Q 5,	,358	12	0.00	1.96	1.96	0	100	\$0	\$903,000
R 1,	,216	16	0.44	3.10	3.54	12	88	\$178,000	\$1,252,000
	,460	16	1.48	2.61	4.09	36	64	\$584,000	\$1,030,000
T 4,	,407	8	1.08	0.00	1.08	100	0	\$610,000	\$0
Sub Total 46	5,619							\$2,530,000	\$4,470,000
Total								\$12,000,000	\$31,800,000
Engineering (20	)%)							\$2,400,000	\$6,400,000
Contingency (20									\$6,400,000
Total	- · - /							\$2,400,000	ψυ,τυυ,υυυ

20 Cities ENRCCI = 7,942 May 2007

Data received from the City current as of July 2006

## **Stead Treatment Cost and Flow Allocations**

**Stead - Treatment Costs** 

Treatment (Membrane/UV)	Cost	Date	ENR
Projected Incremental Tertiary			
Treatment Cost 2 to 4.8MGD	\$26,414,000	Apr-05	7355
Additional Ozone Treatment	\$8,270,000	Apr-05	7355
Adjusted Treatment Volume 4.8 to 7.20MGD	\$46,067,000	Apr-05	7355
Adjusted Treatment Volume 4.8 to 7.20MGD	\$49,700,000	May-07	7942
Engineering (20%)	\$9,900,000		
Contingency (20%)	\$9,900,000		
Total	\$69,500,000		

20 Cities ENRCCI = 7,942 May 2007

**Stead-Cold Springs Flow Allocations** 

		Total			2030	Existing	New		Existing			
		Existing	Total New	Regional	County	County	County	2030 City	City	New City		
	Total 2030	Capacity	Capacity	Facilities	Capacity	Capacity	Capacity	Capacity	Capacity	Capacity		
	Capacity (MGD)	(MGD)	(MGD)	(%)	(MGD)	(MGD)	(MGD)	(MGD)	(MGD)	(MGD)	County %	City %
RSWRF	7.2	2.35	4.85	59.5%	2.75	0	2.75	4.45	2.35	2.1	56.7%	43.3%
CSWWTP	4.5	0.7	3.8	43.9%	1.4	0.7	0.7	3.1	0	3.1	18.4%	81.6%

20 cities ENRCCI = 7,942 May 2007

## Stead Regional Reservoir and Long Valley Export Costs

Reservoir - Regional Disposal/Reclaimed Water Costs

		Diameter	
Facility	Length (ft)	(in)	Subtotal
Stormwater Bypass	5,500	36	\$1,188,000
Dam/Earthwork			\$4,573,000
Subtotal			\$5,800,000
Engineering (20%)			\$1,200,000
Contingency (20%)			\$1,200,000
Total			\$8,200,000

20 Cities ENRCCI = 7,942 May 2007

**Long Valley Export - Regional Disposal/Reclaimed Water Costs** 

		Diameter		
Facility	Length (ft)	(in)	Pump Q (MGD)	Subtotal
Discharge Piping	33,000	24		\$9,504,000
Pump Station		· ·	5	\$1,900,000
Whites Lake Disposal	1,800	16		\$345,600
Total		· ·		\$11,400,000
Engineering (20%)		· ·		\$2,300,000
Contingency (20%)		· ·		\$2,300,000
Total				\$16,000,000

20 Cities ENRCCI = 7,942 May 2007

Stead-Cold Springs Regional Disposal/Reclaimed Water Costs

			Stead		Cold Springs			
Regional Facilities	Cost	Total	City	County	Total	City	County	
Reservoir	\$8,200,000	\$4,600,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,600,000	\$3,600,000	\$2,900,000	\$700,000	
Long Valley Creek								
Disposal	\$16,000,000	\$9,000,000	\$3,900,000	\$5,100,000	\$7,000,000	\$5,700,000	\$1,300,000	
Total	\$24,200,000	\$13,600,000	\$5,900,000	\$7,700,000	\$10,600,000	\$8,600,000	\$2,000,000	

<sup>[1]</sup> Based on percent-flow allocations (See Appendix)

<sup>20</sup> Cities ENRCCI = 7,942 May 2007

## **Stead Regional Reclaimed Water Costs**

**Stead - Reclaimed Water Costs** 

			Pump Q <sub>pea</sub>	k
Facility	Length (ft)	Diameter (in)	(MGD)	Subtotal
Distribution Piping	12,500	6		\$900,000
	11,700	8		\$1,123,000
	12,800	10		\$1,536,000
Pipe to Reservoir	38,500	24		\$11,088,000
Pump Station [4]			4.85	\$1,900,000
2 MG Storage Tank				\$2,000,000
Subtotal				\$18,500,000
Engineering (20%)				\$3,700,000
Contingency (20%)				\$3,700,000
Total				\$25,900,000

<sup>[1]</sup> Pump to serve for expanded reuse distribution and supply to reservoir

<sup>20</sup> Cities ENRCCI = 7,942 May 2007